# DETROIT TEMPERATURE CONTROL AND DOWNSTREAM PASSAGE – PROJECT UPDATE

#### Jon Rerecich, Fish Biologist - Presenting

Jeff Ament, Project Manager Kristy Fortuny, Technical Lead Kelly Janes, Environmental Resource Specialist

#### March 2019





NEPA

Summary

Review

Schedule

Project Impacts

Possible Solutions





Portland District



# **PRESENTATION OUTLINE**

**Design at last Science** 



#### **AE CONCEPT COLLECTOR AND TOWER LOCATION**





Utilize fish behavior in design features.

Maximize the surface flow hydraulic signature in the forebay.

Position entrance close to the dam. Utilize the dam as a guidance feature.

Minimize competing flow or confusing flows.

Exclude fish from areas that would limit their ability to find the entrance.

Shape the entrance to optimize hydraulic conditions to maximize collection efficiency.















#### HOW TO CONSTRUCT????

Easiest – build it in the dry!

But what are the impacts at Detroit?

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Public meetings

Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)



#### Cougar Temperature Tower



US Army Corps of Engineers ® Portland District



#### **PROJECT TIMELINE**





Portland District



7

#### Detroit Downstream Passage Project: Construction Alternatives



Alternative	Retained	Reason
CA 1 – 2 Year Low Drawdown	Yes	Feasible, lowest construction cost
CA 2 – 1 Year Low Drawdown	Yes	Feasible
CA 3 – Variable Low Drawdown with	No	High construction cost and increased impacts resulting from
Temporary Cofferdam		longer drawdown required to build coffer dams
CA 4 – 1 Year Variable Drawdown	Yes	Feasible
CA 5 – Build in the Wet	Yes	Feasible









US Army Corps of Engineers ® Portland District

8

#### **DETROIT TEMPERATURE CONTROL - DRAWDOWN IMPACTS**

Recreation Impacts –Loss of business revenue





Photos – Oregonlive.com





#### **DETROIT TEMPERATURE CONTROL - DRAWDOWN IMPACTS**

Recreation Impacts – Loss of business revenue

#### 30 miles downstream - Stayton

Downstream Water Supply Impacts (July – October)

- Municipal water supply
  - Cities of Salem, Stayton, Turner, Gates withdraw municipal water
  - City of Salem alone 192,000 residents and businesses impacted
  - No full scale backup systems exist
- -Agriculture
  - 850 farmers on over 17,000 acres rely on North Santiam for irrigation water
  - Many crops perennial. Loss of water = loss of plants



Imagery ©2018 Google, Map data ©2018 Google 1000 ft



of Engineers ®



#### **DETROIT TEMPERATURE CONTROL - DRAWDOWN IMPACTS**

Still analyzing impacts in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) but:

Deep drawdown impacts – Low river flows

- Minto minor
- Chinook outplants minor
- Anadromous fish appear to be quite large

What can be done to minimize impacts?







# DRAWDOWN ALTERNATIVES AS WE EVALUATE IMPACTS

#### Determine a way to construct in the wet

- Drawdown to 1400' to provide water supply
  - Provides 750 cfs for municipal water
  - Some impacts remain
    - -Water quality
      - » Sediment
    - -No stored water for agricultural users with rights to only stored water
- -Follow normal rule curve
  - Normal operations
    - -Deeper dive depths











# **POSSIBLE SWS ATTACHED TO DAM** High Intake Weirs Low Intake Gates





## **POSSIBLE PENSTOCK BIFURCATION**





## **DRAWDOWN AND IMPACTS SUMMARY**

Weighing impacts of reservoir drawdown vs. in-the-wet construction.

Drawdown for in-the-dry construction = Large impacts

Socioeconomics, recreation, environment

Is it actually possible to build in the wet?

- Normal rule curve
  - $\circ~$  Up to 300-400' dive depth
- Modified rule curve 1400'
  - Shallower dive depth (100-200')
  - Ability to provide water quantity (750 cfs)
  - $\circ$  Issues with water quality

NEPA process is helping shape SWS design alternatives to reduce impacts.

More to come in the draft EIS

-Out for public review late spring/summer 2019





